1	Paul J. Pascuzzi, State Bar No. 148810 Jason E. Rios, State Bar No. 190086			
2	Thomas R. Phinney, State Bar No. 159435			
3	Mikayla E. Kutsuris, State Bar No. 339777 FELDERSTEIN FITZGERALD			
4	WILLOUGHBY PASCUZZI & RIOS LLP 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2250			
5	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 329-7400			
6	Facsimile: (916) 329-7435 Email: ppascuzzi@ffwplaw.com			
7	jrios@ffwplaw.com tphinney@ffwplaw.com			
8	mkutsuris@ffwplaw.com			
9	Ori Katz, State Bar No. 209561			
10	Alan H. Martin, State Bar No. 132301			
	A Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations	1, 221		
11	Four Embarcadero Center, 17 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4109			
12	Telephone: (415) 434-9100			
13	Facsimile: (415) 434-3947 Email: okatz@sheppardmullin.com			
14	amartin@sheppardmullin.com			
15	Attorneys for The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco			
16	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
18	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
19	SANTRANCI	JCO DI VISIO	J1 <b>v</b>	
20	In re	Case No. 23	3-30564	
21	THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF SAN FRANCISCO,	Chapter 11		
22	Debtor and	Date:	March 13, 2025	
23	Debtor in Possession.	Time: Location:	1:30 p.m. via Zoom	
24		Judge:	Hon. Dennis Montali	
25	RESPONSE TO THE OFFICIAL COMMI	TTEE OF I	INCECTIDED CDEDITODS FOD	
26	ORDER APPROVING EMPLOYMENT	OF CUSHM	IAN &WAKEFIELD AS REAL	
27	ESTATE VALUATION EXPERT OF UNSECURED CRE			

Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1048 Filed: 03/06/25 Entered: 03/06/25 11:04:37 Page 1 of 23-30564 RESPONSE TO EMPLOYMENT OF CUSHMAN & WAKEFIELD

- 1. Upon reviewing the Committee's original application, the Debtor discovered that the application did not disclose a potentially disqualifying connection to the Debtor. The Debtor immediately brought this to the attention of the Committee. The Committee's amendment and supplemental declaration now discloses several previously undisclosed connections.
- 2. The Debtor also requested the Committee to provide a budget for the proposed employment. The Committee represented that the fees will be less than \$100,000.00. The Debtor requests that Cushman's compensation for the retention be limited to less than \$100,000.00.
- 3. In light of Cushman & Wakefield's now disclosed previous and ongoing work for the Debtor and numerous of the Debtor's professionals, the Debtor made a simple request: share any real estate valuations with the Debtor so that they can be beneficial to both parties in connection with the mediation to resolve this case. After all, real estate appraisals are supposed to be independent and objective, not skewed for a litigation advantage or gamesmanship. The Debtor offered to waive any potential conflict presented by the connections and work with the Committee to strategically value real property that would be efficient and beneficial to the process.
- 4. The Committee declined this opportunity to work collaboratively with the Debtor and to save the estate the substantial costs of duplicate real estate professionals being paid by the estate. The Committee even declined to share Cushman & Wakefield's valuations under the protective order issued in this case and instead filed the amendment and set the application for hearing.
- 5. The Debtor is aware of the U.S. Trustee's Objection and Reservation of Rights filed at ECF No. 1044.

23-30564

1	6. If the Court is inclined to approve the application, the Debtor requests the Court		
2	include the following in the order: "Subject to the confidentiality provision of the Stipulated		
3	Protective Order Dated December 15, 2023 [ECF No. 374] and the Order Approving Stipulation		
4	Clarifying Stipulated Protective Order [ECF No. 801], within five days of receipt the Committee		
5	shall provide the Debtor any valuation reports or indications of value that Cushman creates in		
6	connection with its retention in this case, all documents containing the facts and data Cushman		
7	considered in preparing those valuations, and any exhibits that will be used to summarize or support		
8	Cushman's valuations." A similar provision was included in the Committee's real estate		
9	professional employment order in the Roman Catholic Bishop of Oakland case at ECF No. 1332,		
10	paragraph 13.		
11	Dated: March 6, 2025 FELDERSTEIN FITZGERALD WILLOUGHBY		
12	PASCUZZI & RIOS LLP		
13	By: /s/ Paul J. Pascuzzi		
14	Paul J. Pascuzzi Jason E. Rios		
15	Thomas R. Phinney Mikayla E. Kutsuris		
16	Attorneys for The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco		
17	San Pancisco		
18	Dated: March 6, 2025 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON		
19	LLP		
20	By: /s/ Ori Katz		
21	Ori Katz Alan H. Martin		
22	Attorneys for The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			